

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In response to the Examiner's further Office Action of July 26, 2007 issued with respect to the present RCE application, the Applicant respectfully submits the accompanying Amendment of the claims and the below Remarks.

Regarding Amendment

In the Amendment:

independent claim 1 is amended to specify that the printer is operationally integrated with the flat panel display. Support for this amendment can be found at paragraphs [191], [203]-[206] and [218]-[236] of the present specification;

dependent claim 3 is amended to specify that the printer and flat panel display are operationally integrated such that the printer is operable to print based on the received print data and/or display data;

dependent claim 4 is amended to properly depend from claim 3; and

dependent claims 2 and 5-31 are unchanged.

It is respectfully submitted that the Amendment does not add any new matter to the present application.

Regarding 35 USC 103(a) Rejections

It is respectfully submitted that the subject matter of amended independent claim 1, and claims 2-31 dependent therefrom, is not taught or suggested by any one or more of previously cited Kashiwa, Morgavi, Minemoto, Steinfeld and Rylander in view of newly cited Vaghi (US 6,474,882), for at least the following reasons.

As discussed above, independent claim 1 has been amended to specify that the printer and flat panel display are operationally integrated, and dependent claim 3 has been amended to define this operational integration as being that the printer is operable to print based on the print data and/or display data received from a computer system connected to the integrated display device, as is described at paragraphs [191], [203]-[206] and [218]-[236] of the present specification.

On the other hand, Kashiwa merely discloses a computer having a built-in print station and LCD, and provides no disclosure or suggestion that the respective operations of the LCD and print station are, or can be, integrated, as is required by the claimed invention.

Further, none of previously cited Morgavi, Minemoto, Steinfeld and Rylander teach or suggest incorporating a printer into a device casing having a flat-panel display. Furthermore, newly cited Vaghi merely discloses an embodiment in which it is possible to position a printer in a flat-panel display, and like Kashiwa, provides no disclosure or suggestion that the respective operations of the flat-panel display and printer are, or can be, integrated.

Thus, the subject matter of amended independent claim 1, and claims 2-31 dependent therefrom, is not taught or suggested by any combination of Kashiwa, Morgavi, Minemoto, Steinfeld, Rylander and Vaghi.

It is respectfully submitted that all of the Examiner's rejections have been traversed. Accordingly, it is submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

Very respectfully,

Applicant/s:



Kia Silverbrook

C/o:

Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd
393 Darling Street
Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email:

kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com

Telephone:

+612 9818 6633

Facsimile:

+61 2 9555 7762